2008 JUL 23 PH 3:59

Docket No.: E3331.0525

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Peter R. Horsfall

Application No.: 09/942,426

Confirmation No.: 7416

Filed: August 29, 2001

Art Unit: 3691

For: ELECTRONIC TRADING SYSTEM

Examiner: O. Akintola

REQUEST FOR REFUND

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

· Dear Sir:

On July 8, 2008 we filed and Information Disclosure Statement and paid, via EFS-Web, a Submission of Information Disclosure Fee of \$180.00. The fee was paid in view of the fact that the office action mailed on March 19, 2008 had been indicated as being "final."

After a telephone discussion with SPE Alex Kalinowski on July 8, 2008, Mr. Kalinowski agreed that the March 19, 2008 office action should not have been indicated as being final. This was confirmed in the Interview Summary forwarded to applicant's representative on July 16, 2008 (copy attached), in which Mr. Kalinowski stated that "the office action mailed on 3/19/2008 is a non-final rejection of the claims." In view of the foregoing, applicant requests that the fee of \$180.00 be refunded.

Applicant hereby requests a refund of \$180.00 in connection with the above-referenced application. It is respectfully requested that the \$180.00 be credited to our USPTO Deposit Account No. 50-2215.

Dated: July 23, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph W. Ragusa

Registration No.: 38,586 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1177 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-2714

(212) 277-6500

Attorney for Applicant

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/942,426	HORSFALL, PETER R.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI	3691				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI.	(3)					
(2) Joseph Raguza.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 09 July 2008.		,				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative)						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
•						
·	•					
		· ·				
	•					
	•	•				
•	•					
		•				
	/Alexander Kalinowski/ SPE					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Art Unt 3691 Examiner's signature, if requ	ired				

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/942,428 HORSFALL, PETER R.		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI	3691	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):		
(1) <u>ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Joseph Raguza</u> .	(4)	٠	
Date of interview: 09 July 2008.			·
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representativ	'e)	,
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes if Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Ctaim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>WA</u> .	•		
Agreement with respect to the claims i) was reached.	g)☐ was not reached. h)⊠	N/A.	·.
Substance of Interview Including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	<u>V</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the amendments that	greed would rend would render the	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to t GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTREQUIREMENTS ON reverse side or on attached sheet.	he last Office action has alread IR OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT NTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM	IY DOEN MED, APP IY DAYS FROM I, WHICHEVER IS	THIS LATER, TO
	•		
			•
·			
<u>'</u>			
	•	• •	
		•	
	/Alexander Kalinowski/ SPE Art Unt 3691		·
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if req	uired	

Application No. 09/942,426

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Continuation of Substance of Interview Including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the status of the office action mailed on 3/19/2008. Applicant filed an after final amendment on 2/20/2008 requesting reconsideration of the final rejection of the claims mailed on 9/20/2007. In response to the after final request for reconsideration, the Examiner sent an Advisory Action mailed on 5/21/2008 that withdrew the Final Rejection of the claims that was mailed on 9/20/2007. Furthermore, the Advisory Action indicated that a new action from the Examiner would be forthcoming. A Final Rejection of the claims was mailed on 3/19/2008. However, upon further review, the Examiner agreed that the action mailed on 3/19/2008 should have been a non-final rejection of the claims. The Indication that the rejection of the claims mailed on 3/19/2008 was made in error. Therefore, the Examiner agrees that the office action mailed on 3/19/2008 is a non-final rejection of the claims.

Electronic Patent Application Fee Transmittal				
Application Number:	09942426			
Filing Date:	29-Aug-2001			
Title of Invention:	Electronic trading system			
First Named Inventor/Applicant Name:	Peter R. Horsfall			
Filer:	Joseph W. Ragusa/BrendA BRIDGES			
Attorney Docket Number:	P/692-152			
Filed as Large Entity				
Utility Filing Fees				
Description	Fee Code Quantity Amount Sub-Total in USD(\$)			
Basic Filing:				
Pages:				
Claims:				
Miscellaneous-Filing:	8			
Petition:	426 180.00			
Patent-Appeals-and-Interference:	5897 			
Post-Allowance-and-Post-Issuance:	725,2008 0000221 00300 Total:			
Extension-of-Time:	date: 07/25/200 INTEFSW 000022 G Refund Total: XXXXXXXXXXX1001			
	Adjustment date: 07/25/200 07/09/2008 HTEFSW 000022 01 FC:1806 07/25/2008 Credit Card Refund Total: Am Exp: XXXXXXXXXX1001			

Description	Fee Code	Quantity	Amount	Sub-Total in USD(\$)
Miscellaneous:				
Submission-Information Disclosure Stmt	1806	1	180	180
	Total in USD (\$)			180